

APPENDIX B: A SUMMARY OF THE TRO CONSULTATION RESPONSES

No. and Type	Comments	Officers Response
1 Objection	<p>Regarding the proposed parking restrictions in your letter Dated 12th December 2017 ref: TR/20/10/MR. I strongly object to these proposals coming into force on the following grounds</p> <p>1- No problem exists on Minster Road with regard to parking I have a caravan which I keep on my drive. I have had it for 6 or 7 years and it is used frequently. Never, not even once, have I been unable to turn my vehicle around with the caravan attached on Minster Rd. I have also never had any problem reversing the Caravan with vehicle attached up our drive.</p> <p>2- The current level of parking situation actually a positive it helps a little with traffic calming. We already have a minor problem with the odd regular boy racer using Minster Rd as a Rat Run on occasions.</p> <p>3- The Road is often used by bus drivers from the depot on Green Lane for parking. Due to the late and antisocial hours of some of their shifts I consider this to be a good thing from a security/policing stand point. It deters burglars thieves and vandals from targeting the area as they never really know when a law abiding citizen or two (Bus Drivers) will be returning to their vehicles. I also feel i should point out that the drivers who park around here are very considerate in the way they park their vehicles, and noise levels when returning to them and leaving them.</p> <p>4- Your restrictions would have a negative Impact on visitors visiting our home and on residents who sometimes need to use on street parking.</p> <p>5- The point at which on street parking occasionally does cause traffic congestion issues is at the Junction of Minster Rd and The Common a place you have not earmark for any restrictions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposals on Minster Road Area prevent parking where the highway code rule 243 states 'not to park' (opposite or within 10 metres of a junction). Anyone who does park a vehicle where the proposed waiting restrictions are proposed is in contravention of this. • Preventing parking at these locations will improve visibility and safety for all road users. • Other parking spaces are available on Minster Road and on all the side roads so there would be no negative effect on residents or visitors. • It is not envisaged that there will be any effect on vehicle speed or anti-social behaviour as parking is still available. • It is important to proceed with the Minster Road Area proposals to prevent current and future parking at unsuitable locations on those roads.

2 Objection	<p>Re the above proposal to consider introduction of waiting restrictions at junctions on Minster Road. I wish to object on the grounds that I feel it unnecessary and a waste of taxpayers money.</p> <p>I have lived on the Junction of Minster Road and floodgate Drive for over 50 Years (Since the date the estate was built). I am a car owner and use the various junctions often, I have never had any problems at these junctions.</p> <p>I am sure the cost be of implementing the restrictions would be better served by using the money to improve the road surfaces in and around the Ecclesfield area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The proposals on Minster Road Area prevent parking where the highway code rule 243 states 'not to park' (opposite or within 10 metres of a junction). Anyone who does park a vehicle where the proposed waiting restrictions are proposed is in contravention of this.• Preventing parking at these locations will improve visibility and safety for all road users.• Other parking spaces are available on Minster Road and on all the side roads so there would be no negative effect on residents or visitors.• It is not envisaged that there will be any effect on vehicle speed or anti-social behaviour.• It is important to proceed with the Minster Road Area proposals to prevent current and future parking at unsuitable locations on those roads.

<p>3 Supportive Comment and asking for further restrictions</p>	<p>I am very pleased to see you propose to make changes to parking on Minster Road. However I do not think you go far enough. Parking on Minster Road has changed considerably in recent years. It is now being used by numerous vehicles as a regular car park. These are not residents but bus drivers, residents off Cross Hill and many others. These vehicles park, for 8 to 12 hours every day opposite road junctions and driveways. Every day they cause unnecessary obstruction to other road users. Minster Road has a lot of traffic both cars, HGVs and buses for most parts of the day as people use it as a short cut from Parson Cross and High Street. The parking opposite road junctions goes against the Highway Code. I took this matter up with a local councillor and after an exchange of emails he concluded that they can park where they like. As a retired police officer I know this is not true but he offered no help.</p> <p>I think it would be helpful to have some parking restrictions for the whole length of Minster Road either by residents parking permits or some period of restriction.</p> <p>I have spoken to many of the residents of Minster Road and the majority of those would like something done to alleviate obstructions and make Minster Road a safer place to live on. Many of the people I have spoken to I feel will not reply to your letter as they think it would be a waste of time. I am of the opinion that if you do not create dialogue nothing will be done.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The City Councils proposals in residential areas are undertaken with a balanced approach to suit the different needs on the highway. Protecting the junctions with double yellow line, no waiting at any time restrictions, helps visibility for all road users and protects dropped kerbs for those with pushchairs and mobility issues. However, providing parking where it is reasonable to do so is important for residents, carers, deliveries and visitors. • Parking restrictions such as permit parking or pay and display have to meet a series of criteria and require a lot of financial resources to implement, that due to Central Government cuts are extremely limited in the current climate. Permits also require payment which receive a lot of objection even in more central busy commuter parking areas and tend to be part of 'whole area' plan rather than street by street due to 'knock-on' effects of moving any problems elsewhere.
<p>Telephone Call: Support</p>	<p>A telephone call supporting the restrictions was received from a resident after the consultation period whom highlighted the need for the restrictions for visibility at the junctions.</p>	<p>Thank you for your support.</p>